

HUMAN CREMATORY



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

| INSPECTION TYPE: ANNUAL (INSTRE-INSPECTIO | | OMPLAINT/DISCOVI | ` / <u></u> | | |
|--|-----------------|--------------------|-------------------|-------------------------|-----------------------|
| AIRS ID#: 0710069 DATE: 11/07/11 | ARI | RIVE: <u>10:00</u> | DEPART: <u>12</u> | 2:00 | |
| FACILITY NAME: LEE MEMORIAL P. | ARK CREMATORY | | | | |
| FACILITY LOCATION: 12777 SR | . 82 | | | | |
| FORT M | YERS 33913-9651 | | | | |
| OWNER/AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATIVE: ALLAN GILSTAD Email: allan.gilstad@dignitymemorial.com CONTACT NAME: ALLAN GILSTAD Email: allan.gilstad@dignitymemorial.com Email: allan.gilstad@dignitymemorial.com ENTITLEMENT PERIOD: 10/22/2011 / 10/22/2016 (effective date) (end date) PHONE: (239)334-4880 PHONE: (239)334-4880 Mobile: (239)248-4236 | | | | | |
| Facility Section PART I: INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (check ☑ only one box) ☑ IN COMPLIANCE ☐ MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE ☐ SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| PART II: ONSITE INTRODUCTORY M 1. Name(s) of facility representative(s): D Brief Notes: Mr. Gilstad is on vacation | wane Wills II | | | (check 🗹 ox for each | only one question) |
| 2. Is the Authorized Representative still Al If no, who is?: | LLAN GILSTAD? | | · [| ⊠ Yes | □No |
| If different, did the facility provide an ac 3. Is the facility contact still ALLAN GILS If no, who is?: | | | | ☐ Yes ⊠ Yes | □No □No |
| 4. Will facility be conducting VE test(s) du If yes, was the compliance authority not | | | | Yes Yes | □No □No |

${\bf Emissions~Unit~Section} \\ {\bf 2-HumanCrematory-prim/2ndarychmbrs NG, temp. \&R, opacity M150 lbs/hr}$

| D A | ART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION | | |
|------|--|--|----------------|
| I P | RI I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION | (check 🗹 | only one |
| | | box for each question) | |
| 1 | a. Complete AC application or, if no AC permit, initial GP registration received on or | | · |
| 1. | after August 30, 1989? | ⊠ Yes | □No |
| | b. If yes, were design calculations provided then to confirm a sufficient volume in the | □ 1 cs □ | □1 1 0 |
| | secondary chamber combustion zone to provide for at least a 1.0 second gas residence time | | İ |
| | at 1800 degrees Fahrenheit? | Yes | □No |
| 2 | Crematory unit installed after February 1, 2007? | ☐ Yes ⊠ Yes | □No □No |
| | | □ 1 € 8 | □No |
| | | | ĺ |
| 4. | Past Visible Emissions (VE) tests: | □ 3 7-0 | NT. |
| | a. Was a VE test performed within each of the past 4 calendar years? | ∐ Yes | ⊠No ⊠ No |
| 1 | b. Has a VE test been performed yet within the current calendar year? | ☐ Yes | ⊠No |
| | c. If first year of operation, was a VE test performed within 30 days of commencing | | √ |
| | operation? N/A | ☐ Yes | ⊠No |
| | d. Date of last VE test: | | |
| | e. Was the VE test report filed with the compliance authority no later than 45 days after the test? | | ∐No |
| | f. Did the facility demonstrate compliance during the last VE test? | | ∐No |
| | If no, what was the problem (if known)? this unit was installed post last inspection EU has not be | en tested | |
| _ | | | |
| ъ, | A DOUBLE TO THE TOTAL OF THE PROPERTY OF THE P | | |
| P | ART II: <u>VISIBLE EMISSIONS TESTING</u> | (check 🗹 | only one |
| | | box for each | question) |
| 1 | Was a missible emissions test conducted by the facility for this unit during this site visit? | - X Yes | ПNо |
| 1. | Was a visible emissions test conducted by the facility for this unit during this site visit? | | = |
| | a. Was the test conducted with the unit operating at a capacity of one adult-sized cadaver? | | ∐No |
| li . | b. Was the visible emissions test conducted according to EPA Method 9? | - 🛚 Yes | □No |
| | The 1.11 enterior test and the linear enterior of 0.0% for the highest six minute eveness | | |
| | c. The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of 0 % for the highest six minute average. | N/ 1/2 | □ NT. |
| li . | d. Did the visible emission test demonstrate compliance with the limit? | | □No |
| Ì | (5% opacity, six-minute average, except that visible emissions not exceeding 15% opacity shall be allowed for up to six minutes | in any one-hour) | |
| 2 | W 193 1 1 4 4 3 4 31 41 1 | □ 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 | N. |
| 2. | Was a visible emissions test conducted by the inspector during this site visit? | | ∐No |
| li . | a. Was the test conducted with the unit operating at a capacity of one (1) adult-sized cadaver? | | □No |
| j) | b. Was the visible emissions test conducted according to EPA Method 9? | · 🛚 Yes | □No |
| li . | c. The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of 0 % for the highest six minute average. | <u> </u> | |
| | d. Did the visible emission test demonstrate compliance with the limit? | | ∐No |
| 3. | Is there any reason to ask for a special test to determine compliance with the PM and CO standar | | <u> </u> |
| j) | | ☐ Yes | ⊠No |
| | If yes, what reason? | | Į! |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| DA | ART III: MONITORING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS | ∠ .1 | 1 |
| I F | ART III; MUNITURING/RECURDREEFING REQUIREMENTS | (check ☑ | only one |
| ı | | box for each | question) |
| 1 | Were there any objectionable odors detected? | Yes | ⊠No |
| 1. | An upwind/downwind survey of the facility was conducted. The observed parameters were: | 103 | Z310 |
| | Downwind odor level detected- Wind direction - Upwind odor level detected- | (1-10) | |
| | Downwind odor level detected wind direction opwind odor level detected | (1-10) | |
| 2 | Continuous Monitoring Systems – | | |
| | Is a continuous temperature monitoring system installed on each unit to record temperatures in the | | |
| и | secondary chamber in accordance with the manufacturer's instructions? | ⊠ Yes | □No |
| h | Is the temperature probe properly placed, at least at the distance where the 1.0 second gas residence | <u> </u> | □ .1 \0 |
| U | time at $\square 1,800^1 \square 1,600^2$ degrees was determined? | Yes | □No |
| | (Application or initial notification: ¹ received on or after 8/30/89; ² received before 8/30/89) | ☐ 1 CS | □1 N O |
| | CADITICATION OF INITIAL HOUSE cation. Telephological of of all of solids. Telephological of solids is | | |

| PART III: MONITORING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS (continued) | | |
|---|---|------------|
| c. Are the following records kept on file, available for inspection, for at least the past two years? | | |
| 1) All temperature measurements | Yes | □No |
| 2) all continuous monitoring systems, monitoring devices, and performance testing measurements; | N | |
| monitoring system all continuous performance evaluations | ⊠ Yes □ Yes | ∐No □No |
| 4) Adjustments | Yes | □No |
| 5) Preventive maintenance performed on systems/devices | Yes | □No |
| 6) Corrective maintenance performed on systems/devices | ☐ Yes | ∐No |
| d. Are the temperature charts properly documented with operator name, operator indication of | | |
| when cremation in the primary chamber was begun, date, time, and temperature markings | ✓ Yes✓ Yes | ∐No ∏No |
| e. Was the crematory unit installed after $2/1/07$? If no, skip e.(1) – (3)(1) Is the crematory unit equipped and operated with a pollutant monitoring system to automatical | | □N0 |
| control combustion based on continuous in-stack opacity measurement? | Yes | □No |
| (2) Is the system calibrated to restrict combustion in the primary chamber whenever any opacity | | |
| exceeds 15% opacity?(3) Has the opacity measurement system been cleaned and checked for proper operation in | ∐ Yes | ∐No |
| accordance with the manufacturer's recommended maintenance schedule? | ☐ Yes | □No |
| | | |
| PART IV: SECONDARY COMBUSTION ZONE TEMPERATURES | (check ☑ | only one |
| TARTIV. SECONDARI COMBUSTION ZONE TEMI ERATURES | box for each | • |
| | | |
| 1. If the application to construct was BEFORE August 30, 1989 is the: | | |
| a notical operating temperature of the secondary chember combustion zone no loss than 1400°F | | |
| a. actual operating temperature of the secondary chamber combustion zone no less than 1400°F throughout the combustion process in the primary chamber? | ☐ Yes | ∏No |
| throughout the combustion process in the primary chamber?b. secondary chamber combustion zone temperature equal to or greater than 1400°F before the crematic | | □No |
| throughout the combustion process in the primary chamber? | | □No |
| throughout the combustion process in the primary chamber? b. secondary chamber combustion zone temperature equal to or greater than 1400°F before the crematic process begins in the primary chamber? | o <u>n</u> | |
| throughout the combustion process in the primary chamber? b. secondary chamber combustion zone temperature equal to or greater than 1400°F before the crematic process begins in the primary chamber? | on Yes | No |
| throughout the combustion process in the primary chamber? b. secondary chamber combustion zone temperature equal to or greater than 1400°F before the crematic process begins in the primary chamber? | on ☐ Yes ⊠ Yes | |
| throughout the combustion process in the primary chamber? b. secondary chamber combustion zone temperature equal to or greater than 1400°F before the crematic process begins in the primary chamber? | on ☐ Yes ⊠ Yes | No |
| throughout the combustion process in the primary chamber? b. secondary chamber combustion zone temperature equal to or greater than 1400°F before the crematic process begins in the primary chamber? | Yes Yes | No |
| throughout the combustion process in the primary chamber? b. secondary chamber combustion zone temperature equal to or greater than 1400°F before the crematic process begins in the primary chamber? | Yes Yes | No |
| throughout the combustion process in the primary chamber? b. secondary chamber combustion zone temperature equal to or greater than 1400°F before the crematic process begins in the primary chamber? | Yes Yes | No |
| throughout the combustion process in the primary chamber? b. secondary chamber combustion zone temperature equal to or greater than 1400°F before the crematic process begins in the primary chamber? | Yes Yes Yes Yes | No |
| throughout the combustion process in the primary chamber? b. secondary chamber combustion zone temperature equal to or greater than 1400°F before the crematic process begins in the primary chamber? | Yes Yes Yes Yes (check | No |
| throughout the combustion process in the primary chamber? b. secondary chamber combustion zone temperature equal to or greater than 1400°F before the crematic process begins in the primary chamber? | Yes Yes Yes On Yes (check box for each | No |
| throughout the combustion process in the primary chamber? | Yes Yes Yes Yes (check | No |
| throughout the combustion process in the primary chamber? b. secondary chamber combustion zone temperature equal to or greater than 1400°F before the crematic process begins in the primary chamber? | Yes Yes Yes On Yes (check box for each | No |

| PART VI: <u>EQUIPMENT MAINTENANCE</u> | (check ✓ box for each | only one question) | | |
|--|------------------------------|--------------------|--|--|
| 1. Is the crematory unit maintained in accordance with the manufacturer's specifications? | - 🛛 Yes | □No | | |
| 2. Is there a written plan onsite which addresses the operating procedures during startup, shutdown and malfunction? | | □No | | |
| 3. Does the crematory allow for a visible check on the flame characteristics? If no, skip a. – b. a. Was the flame characteristic visually checked at least once during each operating shift? b. Was the flame adjusted when necessary? | - Yes | □No □No □No | | |
| | | | | |
| PART VII: <u>EU INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS</u> (check ☑ only one box) | | | | |
| ☐ IN COMPLIANCE ☐ MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE ☐ SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE | | | | |

${\bf Emissions~Unit~Section} \\ {\bf 3-HumanCrematory-prim/2ndarychmbr, NGfired, temp M\&R, Opac M200\#/hr}$

| PA | ART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION | (check ☑ | only one |
|----|--|---------------|----------------|
| | | box for each | |
| 1 | Complete AC and invitation of its account in initial CD and its account at a complete contract of the contract | oon for cacif | question |
| 1. | a. Complete AC application or, if no AC permit, initial GP registration received on or after August 30, 1989? | ☐ Yes | □No |
| | b. If yes, were design calculations provided then to confirm a sufficient volume in the | | NO |
| | secondary chamber combustion zone to provide for at least a 1.0 second gas residence time | | |
| | at 1800 degrees Fahrenheit? | ☐ Yes | □No |
| 2. | Crematory unit installed after February 1, 2007? | Yes | □No |
| | Date of last inspection: | _ | |
| | Past Visible Emissions (VE) tests: | | |
| | a. Was a VE test performed within each of the past 4 calendar years? | ☐ Yes | □No |
| | b. Has a VE test been performed yet within the current calendar year? | Yes Yes | □No |
| | c. If first year of operation, was a VE test performed within 30 days of commencing | _ | _ |
| | operation? N/A | ☐ Yes | □No |
| | d. Date of last VE test: | | |
| | e. Was the VE test report filed with the compliance authority no later than 45 days after the test? | ∐ Yes | ∐No |
| | f. Did the facility demonstrate compliance during the last VE test? | Yes | ∐No |
| | If no, what was the problem (if known)? | | |
| | | | |
| PA | ART II: <u>VISIBLE EMISSIONS TESTING</u> | (check 🗹 | only one |
| | | box for each | • |
| | | | _ |
| 1. | Was a visible emissions test conducted by the facility for this unit during this site visit? | | ∐No |
| | a. Was the test conducted with the unit operating at a capacity of one adult-sized cadaver? | | ∐No |
| | b. Was the visible emissions test conducted according to EPA Method 9? | - U Yes | ∐No |
| | c. The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of % for the highest six minute average. | | |
| | d. Did the visible emission test demonstrate compliance with the limit? | ☐ Yes | □No |
| | (5% opacity, six-minute average, except that visible emissions not exceeding 15% opacity shall be allowed for up to six minutes | | |
| | (v. s. | ,, | |
| 2. | Was a visible emissions test conducted by the inspector during this site visit? | · Yes | □No |
| | a. Was the test conducted with the unit operating at a capacity of one (1) adult-sized cadaver? | ☐ Yes | □No |
| | b. Was the visible emissions test conducted according to EPA Method 9? | Yes | □No |
| | c. The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of % for the highest six minute average. | _ | _ |
| _ | d. Did the visible emission test demonstrate compliance with the limit? | | ∐No |
| 3. | Is there any reason to ask for a special test to determine compliance with the PM and CO standa | _ | |
| | If was what massam? | ☐ Yes | □No |
| | If yes, what reason? | | |
| | | | |
| | | | - 1 |
| PA | ART III: MONITORING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS | (check 🗹 | only one |
| | | box for each | question) |
| | W 0 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 | | |
| 1. | Were there any objectionable odors detected? | ☐ Yes | □No |
| | An upwind/downwind survey of the facility was conducted. The observed parameters were: | (1.10) | |
| | Downwind odor level detected- Wind direction - Upwind odor level detected- | (1-10) | |
| 2 | Continuous Monitoring Systems – | | |
| | Is a continuous temperature monitoring system installed on each unit to record temperatures in the | | |
| | secondary chamber in accordance with the manufacturer's instructions? | ☐ Yes | □No |
| b | Is the temperature probe properly placed, at least at the distance where the 1.0 second gas residence | | |
| | time at $\Box 1,800^1 \Box 1,600^2$ degrees was determined? | ☐ Yes | □No |
| | (Application or initial notification: 1 received on or after 8/30/89: 2 received before 8/30/89) | | |

| P | ART III: MONITORING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS (continued) | | |
|------------------|---|--|-----------------------------|
| 1 7 | (continued) | | |
| c. | Are the following records kept on file, available for inspection, for at least the past two years? 1) All temperature measurements | ☐ Yes | □No |
| | 2) all continuous monitoring systems, monitoring devices, and performance testing measurements; monitoring system all continuous performance evaluations 3) All CEMS or monitoring device calibration checks (last performed on () | ☐ Yes ☐ Yes | □No □No |
| | 4) Adjustments 5) Preventive maintenance performed on systems/devices | Yes Yes | □No □No |
| | 6) Corrective maintenance performed on systems/devices | ☐ Yes | ∐No |
| | Are the temperature charts properly documented with operator name, operator indication of when cremation in the primary chamber was begun, date, time, and temperature markings | Yes Yes | □No □No |
| | (1) Is the crematory unit equipped and operated with a pollutant monitoring system to automatica control combustion based on continuous in-stack opacity measurement?(2) Is the system calibrated to restrict combustion in the primary chamber whenever any opacity | lly □ Yes | □No |
| | exceeds 15% opacity? (3) Has the opacity measurement system been cleaned and checked for proper operation in | Yes | □No |
| | accordance with the manufacturer's recommended maintenance schedule? | Yes | □No |
| | | | • |
| P | ART IV: SECONDARY COMBUSTION ZONE TEMPERATURES | (check 🗹 | only one |
| | THE SECONDARY COMBOSTION ZONE TEMPERATURES | box for each | • |
| | If the application to construct was BEFORE August 30, 1989 is the: a. actual operating temperature of the secondary chamber combustion zone no less than 1400°F throughout the combustion process in the primary chamber? | box for each Yes | • |
| | If the application to construct was <u>BEFORE</u> August 30, 1989 is the: a. actual operating temperature of the secondary chamber combustion zone no less than 1400°F | box for each Yes | question) |
| 1. | If the application to construct was <u>BEFORE</u> August 30, 1989 is the: a. actual operating temperature of the secondary chamber combustion zone no less than 1400°F throughout the combustion process in the primary chamber? b. secondary chamber combustion zone temperature equal to or greater than 1400°F before the cremati process begins in the primary chamber? | □ Yes on □ Yes □ Yes | question) |
| 1. | If the application to construct was <u>BEFORE</u> August 30, 1989 is the: a. actual operating temperature of the secondary chamber combustion zone no less than 1400°F throughout the combustion process in the primary chamber? ———————————————————————————————————— | □ Yes on □ Yes □ Yes | question) |
| 1. | If the application to construct was BEFORE August 30, 1989 is the: a. actual operating temperature of the secondary chamber combustion zone no less than 1400°F throughout the combustion process in the primary chamber? ———————————————————————————————————— | □ Yes on □ Yes on □ Yes | question) |
| 1. | If the application to construct was BEFORE August 30, 1989 is the: a. actual operating temperature of the secondary chamber combustion zone no less than 1400°F throughout the combustion process in the primary chamber? ———————————————————————————————————— | □ Yes on □ Yes on □ Yes | question) NoNoNoNo only one |
| 1. 2. P A | If the application to construct was <u>BEFORE</u> August 30, 1989 is the: a. actual operating temperature of the secondary chamber combustion zone no less than 1400°F throughout the combustion process in the primary chamber? ———————————————————————————————————— | box for each ☐ Yes on ☐ Yes ☐ Yes ☐ Yes on ☐ Yes (check ☑ | question) NoNoNoNo only one |

| PART VI: EQUIPMENT MAINTENANCE | (check ☑ box for each | only one question) |
|--|---|----------------------|
| 1. Is the crematory unit maintained in accordance with the manufacturer's specifications? | Yes | □No |
| Is there a written plan onsite which addresses the operating procedures during startup, shutdown and malfunction? | ☐ Yes☐ Yes☐ Yes☐ Yes☐ Yes | No No No No |
| PART VII: EU INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (check ☑ only one box) | | |
| ☐ IN COMPLIANCE ☐ MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE ☐ SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLI | IANCE | |
| Facility Section (continued) | | |
| Administrative Changes: | (check 🗹 box for each | only one question) |
| Administrative Changes: Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of the facility or authorized representati associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation of the facility or any emissions unit operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor administrative change at the facility? If yes, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days of the change? | s or Yes | □No □No |
| New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership: 3. Since the last registration form submittal has there been | ☐ Yes | NoNoNoNoNoNo |
| Wayne Lewis 11/07/11 | | |
| Inspector's Name (Please Print) Date of Inspection | | |
| Inspector's Signature Approximate Date of Next Insp | ection | |

COMMENTS: EU 1 will remain active through November after which EU 1 will be replaced with a new Cremation Unit (EU 3). EU 1 was tested with 0% opacity. EU 2 temperature charts reading about 100 to 150 degrees below digital read-out. Facility will be getting Mathews out tomorrow to calibrate and I have requested a copy of his findings and calibration.